**Workshop “Where Do We Go From Here” Session**

**Session Focus:**

This session focuses on obtaining perspectives and input from invited guests on the body of work performed (analytical, sampling, and data analysis) and any insights they may have about additional data collection and analysis that would assist with future source identification and reduction efforts. In addition, the session focuses on identifying potential next steps for the SRRTTF to take regarding the analysis of data generated during the August 2014 sampling event, the collection and analysis of additional field samples, and source identification and reduction actions.

**Session Specifics:**

**Input from Invited Guests (Roundtable Discussion)**

* What is your overall opinion of the technical soundness of the data collection efforts (sampling, analytical, and data analysis) that have been undertaken?
* Can you share relevant lessons learned from the watersheds in which you work as it applies to our efforts to understand PCB sources, their contribution, and pathways such as groundwater recharge, stormwater or snowmelt? Have you developed any specific sampling plans for quantify contribution from these types of sources?
* Are we missing anything that you feel should be included in our efforts?
* We will likely generate large volumes of data. How does your organization store data and share it?

**Session Discussion Topics (Invited Guests and Attendees)**

* Based on what has been learned from the May 2014 and August 2014 sampling events, how should we carry out the two remaining seasonal sampling events?
* Based on what has been learned from the August 2014 sampling event, how, when, and where should sampling be conducted to quantify stormwater loadings?
* Based on the sampling results from August 2014, have we missed any source types that need to be addressed in future sampling plans?
* Are there any recommended upgrades to the Sampling and Analysis Plan or Quality Assurance Project Plan?
* What are the next steps related to source identification that should be taken in river sections where groundwater contribution is indicated to be an important source?
* Are there other parallel activities (data generation or analysis) that should be undertaken:
  + Measurement of wet and dry deposition?
  + Use of other data analysis methods such as Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) that would help with source identification?
  + Data mining for specific information such as regional groundwater data or dioxin levels?
* Are there any direct actions that can/should be taken relative to sources based on the August 2014 sampling event results?
* Is there value at this point to see if fish tissue data (2005 / 2012) aligns with the riverine PCB concentrations measured in the 2014 water column?
* Should regional protocols be developed and followed for sampling and other data generation or analysis?

**Input from Task Force Members (Roundtable Discussion)**

* What are the next steps that you would recommend the Task Force take in our efforts?
* What two or three next steps would be your highest priority?