

## **Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force**

Wednesday October 25, 2017 | 9:00 am – 12:30 pm

Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District | 22510 E. Mission AV, Liberty Lake, WA  
Facilitated by the William D. Ruckelshaus Center (Chris Page and Kara Whitman)

Meeting Documents: <http://srrtf.org/?p=8449>

### **Attendees:**

#### ***Voting Members and Alternatives (\*Denotes Voting Members)***

Tom Agnew\*, Bijay Adams –Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District  
Vicki Barthels\* –Spokane Regional Health District  
Sharon Bosley\*, Lisa Manning, Angela Tagnani, Mike Zager –Kootenai Environmental Alliance  
Galen Buterbaugh\* (phone) –Lake Spokane Association  
Mike Hermanson\* –Spokane County  
Don Keil\* –City of Coeur d'Alene  
Doug Krapas\*, David Newton –Inland Empire Paper  
Bud Leber\* –Kaiser Aluminum  
Cadie Olsen\*, Jeff Donovan –City of Spokane  
Rich Watson\* –Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)  
Jerry White\* –Riverkeeper

#### ***Advisors***

Karin Baldwin, Adriane Borgias, Brandee Era Miller (phone), Will Hobbs (phone), Debbie Sargeant (phone),  
Jeremy Schmidt – Department of Ecology (Ecology)  
Brian Nickel (phone) –U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

#### ***Public/Interested Parties***

Lisa Dally Wilson –Dally Environmental  
Sundae Delgado –Department of Enterprise Services  
Dave Dilks (phone) –LimnoTech  
Bruce Howard –Avista  
Monica Ott –City of Post Falls

### **Introductions and Agenda Review:**

After a round of introductions, Chris Page went over the agenda. No changes were made to the agenda.

### **Previous Meeting Notes: Edits**

- Page 5: add in \$50,000 to list of projects and funding (partial sampling)
- Page 1: typos, should read \$46,489 uncommitted funds.
- Page 5: change “reexamine the process” to “revisit the groundrules”.

**DECISION:** The Task Force approved the 9/27/17 Task Force meeting notes with the edits noted above.

**ACTION ITEM:** Kara Whitman to edit the 9/27/17 meeting notes and post to Task Force website. (COMPLETE)

### **TTWG Report and Technical Topics:**

**ACE Commitment Report:** \$152,153 in committed funds and 46,497 in uncommitted funds.

### **Contracts and other ACE work:**

- Database: ACE is working with AXYS to nail down the analytical files to get into the database. The scope for additional AXYS work is put together, but not the dollars for execution.

- Ecology Contract: ACE met, following a Spokane River Stewardship Partners (SRSP) funding discussion. SRSP members each agreed to provide \$125,000 (funds should be in the ACE account by the end of the year). These moneys, +\$310,000 in Washington state funding, should suffice for all projects under consideration. This includes facilitation, technical advising, and positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis.
- The \$310,000 comes out of the general fund, can only spend half during fiscal year 1, and the rest in FY2, cannot roll over. This is a concern for sequencing of doing projects. This will need worked through fairly quickly. If we don't fully spend this money, credibility with the legislature will be degraded. The funding is from a different source from Legislature which puts this timeline constraint on the use of the funds. Could potentially include the Fingerprinting Memo work by LimnoTech in the contract. If the Task Force has to spend 155 K before June of 2018, it would be easier if they can move projects around. Q. Ruckelshaus Contract and LimnoTech contracts are not in this list of projects. Can these budget items come out of the \$310,000? A. Yes.
- Project Manager? We have not addressed the labor gap? Karin Baldwin is the point person for Ecology on this for the time being. You can bill for incremental progress on different Tasks. You can do a QAPP before June 30<sup>th</sup>, even if monitoring will not happen until after that. This may be the best way to do this. All of the other projects are contingency tasks outside of the chosen projects from the September meeting.

**ACTION ITEM:** Doug Krapas to have a discussion with representative Ornsby to better understand It would be helpful to have a description on how this funding is to be used, clear parameters. Adriane Borgias to request that Garret Ward from Ecology to provide a description of how the funding works. (COMPLETE?)

**ACTION ITEM:** November meeting topic: project leads and project management.

**Database/CDM Smith:** The work is on hold while data is being gathered and formatted by AXYS. LimnoTech is ready to send chain of custody and location EDDs, but waiting for CDM Smith to send information on formatting. All of this data needs to get to CDM Smith (Rao Sangarmanchi) so he can finish the database. Once he has all the data, this is when he can craft the database.

**ACTION ITEMS:** The smaller database work group to work with Rao Sangarmanchi on finishing the project/setting dates, etc.

**Groundwater fingerprinting memo—Decision: Accept LimnoTech memo as final work product?** Dave Dilks explained that he received comments from EPA, Kaiser, and the County. The comments were mostly about providing clarification on things. There were no changes to the report conclusions. The only minor change was on a figure of GE site accuracy. Dave checked on this, and this is the only site map available at this time. The Toxic Cleanup Program (TCP) requested that the map be qualified as informational only.

**ACTION ITEM:** Jim Ross to work with LimnoTech and TCP (Jeremy Schmidt) to update map with well locations. (COMPLETE?)

**ACTION ITEM:** Ruckelshaus Center to send out the revised map/memo when it is done so it can be reviewed.

**DECISION:** The Task Force approved the memo with the contingency that the map of the GE site be updated with correct well locations.

**Next Steps for Control Action (Comp Plan section 5.14):** Bud Leber to lead a small work group for control action 5.14. The end goal is to see if there is something coming in from groundwater that would trigger the involvement of TCP. Someone from the County (Mike Hermanson or other) will assist Bud. Someone from Ecology (Karin Baldwin and/or Jim Ross) can assist as well.

**EAP Technical Presentation:** Will Hobbs with Ecology's Environmental Assessment Program (EAP) gave a presentation on Wenatchee River PCB and DDT Source assessment and accumulation of PCBs in the food web.

Will explained that there is not a TMDL on PCBs for the Wenatchee River. They started a study in 2014 to examine periphyton accumulation on a Semi-Permeable Membrane Devices (SPMD) over a sampling period of 1 month. They then looked at sucker and white Fish tissue. They also did water sampling at low flow. The lower part of the watershed had significant levels of PCBs, upper watershed did not. The study was repeated in 2015 focusing on the lower part of the basin (just in water) and found a similar pattern as previous study. They did not have much luck using the CLAM sampler due to the background contamination from the device, so not a lot of usable data from this. EAP is continuing to work with CLAM to lower background contamination. In 2014 they did biofilms at the same location as the SPMDs. There was a clear increase in PCBs between Dryden and Old Monitor Bridge → increase in the lower basin. This led to higher frequency sampling in the lower basin, with similar results. There were some higher flows in 2015, showing the same trend, but at lower concentrations. In 2015 they started to look at food web. Source assessment and identification was the main objective, with a secondary focus on how PCBs are accumulating in the food web in order to help predict ways in which fish will react to changes in water chemistry. They found transformers in the river in a number of locations (most not intact, and eroded). Ruled out the instream contamination from the transformers.

Identified some reaches to hone in on upland sources.

*Periphyton study: PCB congener distribution:* Periphyton have an organic coating and this is what the PCBs are binding too. There was similar agreement between the SPMD and the algae, with strong differences in congener distribution suggesting different sources of PCBs in the Wenatchee. Appear to be two distinct sources in the river. They also looked at White fish as a target organism to understanding bioaccumulation in the Wenatchee, which will hopefully lead to a bioaccumulation model. They did a literature review and confirmed by looking at a small data set of stomach content samples. Caddisflies and mayflies appear to be the main diet for the White fish in the Wenatchee, therefore they were targeted for further study. Sampled mostly Filets, prepared to EAP standard protocol for filets of edible fish, similar to what has been done in Spokane. For some work for bioaccumulation model, they did a number of whole individuals to include in the model. They did not measure discharge from the Leavenworth fish hatchery as it was ruled out as a source. They also tracked whitefish movement in the Methow River using nitrogen stable isotopes. Nitrogen stable isotopes in biofilms were more enriched in lower Wenatchee. There was evidence that White fish sampled in the lower basin, stay and accumulate PCBs mostly in the lower basin, not feeding much in the upper basin.

EAP (Will Hobs) will continue to hone in on some of the specific reaches. In Cashmere, the sources appear to be confined to a few hundred feet and they are in conversations with TCP and the City of Cashmere and Chelan County. First need to understand how to move it forward.

#### Q&A/COMMENTS

- **Q.** The work on the Wenatchee has been really different than what has been done in the Spokane. Why is the Wenatchee so much further on this than in Spokane? **A.** There was a different focus from the beginning. Early on the Spokane work was focused on a quasi-TMDL. The Spokane work has informed the Wenatchee work. They have similar ecosystems, but Wenatchee does not have the same population and large urban inputs and dams that the Spokane River has. The Spokane River is much more complex (compartmentalized, tributaries, groundwater interaction etc.).

**Fish/sediment/invertebrate/water study:** Fish Sediment/invertebrate/water study: Chris Donnelly leading this. Rich explained that Chris Donnelly has started to talk to others. Brandee Era Miller also talked with Chris and will assist with the process. Brandee is developing a Quality Assessment Project Plan (QAPP) for doing some biofilm work in the Spokane River.

**Hatchery Feed Study:** Fish feed was sampled as part of the PCB/Project for purchasing. They looked at 21 samples of fish feed purchased by WDFW of several different sizes and 2 different brands. They also looked at a bug based feed, 2 samples of hatchery disinfectants, and 5 samples of salts. The Data will be available in spring of 2018. Fish feed is just one category of this work. They are considering doing a separate report for each category.

**ACTION ITEM:** Karin Baldwin and/or Adriane Borgias to put in an ask to Ecology could put in a request to move the fish feed though a bit faster? EAP will put the question out there. any other categories push through faster, ahead of others?

**DES Presentations: Sundae Delgado – instructional designer for DES.**

Sundae Delgado gave a presentation on both an outreach video on PCBs and purchasing as well as a prototype of a purchasing tool to assist in purchasing decision making. They are meant to give people a place to start. She is working with a number of folks, to put the information in layman's terms, and is looking for feedback on the video and the purchasing tool from the Task Force. Sundae needs to get these two items completed very soon (approval within the next 2 weeks). C. consider adding a question about if it is a product is likely to be disposed of by pouring it down the drain. Is there a pathway for it to reach a water body?

Video prototype: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FbiqFV9cfic&feature=youtu.be>

**ACTION ITEM:** Kara Whitman to send out announcement with Sundae Delgado's contact information to the Task Force asking for feedback on the outreach video and the PCB Calculator. (COMPLETE)

**Project Management / Tracking:** Lisa Dally Wilson pulled together a spreadsheet for tracking the proposed/approved projects to assist with tracking the work of the Task Force. M (monitoring)/ C (control action). Lisa explained that the spreadsheet is meant to pull the projects together in one place. They are in the order that the TTWG ranked them. *See Appendix A at end of notes.*

- Note: There are efficiencies gained in doing the mass balance projects at the same time C3 (approved) and C7(not chosen for the 150,000 k)
- Money: how to view the different sources of funding. The 155 k needs specific deliverables in the contract within the time frame.
- What projects move forward and how. Prioritization based on how much funding, and figure out how it will be done (who to staff it).
- Tracking tool, don't separate the legislative funding from other funding sources. Shaded projects in the table were not approved at the last meeting (because of the artificial budgetary barrier, now that the funding sources can be pooled, these projects)
- "EAP funded" change to "consider EAP funding".
- PMF analysis is currently included in the ACE contract with Ecology. Could be year 2, after database is completed and Lisa Rodenburg has advance notice. Monica: may need a backup plan if the timing does not work (data availability, or Lisa's availability) Initiate a contract with her.
- C.1 C.6 – Fish tissue/food web study.
- C3. C7 – combine, C7 is listed as a contingency project – propose to use Greene Street to Nine Mile. Also, an EAP proposal Project. Between EAP, Urban Water project (central tendency), and SRRTTF, if all using the same QAPP – the 3 entities working together could get this done, under different funding sources. As long as they can happen at the same time. There are a few unknowns about whether EAP can take it on or not. Top priority for requesting from EAP and part of its next funding cycle. Or don't propose to EAP and make it a TF run project – One lead, one protocol.
- C8 – is this already being done? LID regulations – do they contain PCB discussions? Can watch this one and report back on this. Eastern Washington stormwater manual – one thing being discussed is whether to integrate LID discussions. Tie in to these discussions.
- C9-Green Chemistry – no discussion.
- C10 – Product Testing – remove.
- C11 – Survey public schools- ranked at the bottom. This one to stay out of the funding buckets at this time. No clear know pathway from the building to the river or a nexus with the Clean Water Act. Also, an element of the PCB chemical action plan. How is this being executed?
- C5, add bullet. Coordinate with the PCB CAP outreach on PCBs in public schools.

- Larger discussion – about who takes charge of these things. Could they hire a project consultant to manage these things? Assign project leads?

**ACTION ITEM:** Ecology to find out how the PCB Chemical Action Plan is being implemented as it relates to C10 and C11.

**ACTION ITEM:** EAP- product testing is not appropriate for this group, there may be another avenue. Ecology will be submitted a Central Tendency study and continued technical support to EAP.

**ACTION ITEM:** Project management discussion to be added to the TTWG Agenda. (COMPLETE)

#### **Annual Implementation Review Summary: Who Prepares, What Should It Contain, What Format to Use?**

**ACTION ITEM:** Ruckelshaus Center to pull together a template for the implementation review summary (IRS) to discuss at the Technical Track Work Group meeting. (COMPLETE)

**SWAT team update for road paint** – Doug has had some conversations with suppliers, pigments association and etc. Will participate in a pilot project to look at road paints. DOT (Greg Lahti – requiring in a few months), DES rep, someone from City (Doug Greenland), County, Ecology, EPA (Michelle Mullin/Lucy Edmondson). Will first hold a conference call.

**Announcement:** EPA Grant Opportunity: Healthy Watersheds Consortium – large scale watershed project. The City of Spokane is already pursuing this.

**ACTION ITEM:** Kara to send this announcement out again. (who would write the grant, capacity question – a grant that likes regional coordination). (COMPLETE)

**Letter to Tekoa:** The Task Force had previously asked the Education and Outreach work group to consider drafting a letter to other communities to tell them the PCB story and invite them to engage in the process. Jerry White is working on the draft letter. Once complete he will run it through the Education and Outreach work group for edits, then bring it to the full Task Force.

#### **Spokane River Forum:**

- Ecology presentation on what was heard during listening sessions regarding permits.
- Brandee Era Miller and Siana Wong will present 3 PCB studies (Fish Hatchery study, Atmospheric deposition study, and long-term monitoring study at the tribal boundary)
- Jerry White: presentation with Puget Sound Keeper Alliance- where they are at in the world of water. Information presentation. Will comment on non-point source pollution- and where Riverkeeper are at on the permits. Their intention is to inform the public and the Task Force about where they are at, there should be no surprises. They strongly support the new water quality standard from the EPA, the forum is an opportunity to explain why they support the rule.

The Task Force had a discussion about following the MOA and “no surprises” as well as how entities are representing other Task Force members when engaging in public discourse.

**ACTION ITEM:** Add discussion of process management for SRRTTF to next Task Force meeting agenda. (COMPLETE)

**Task Force Posters:** 4 panels. Approved. Change newsprint to “recycled paper”, use version of poster with less yellow. Use the pie chart.

No Public Comment

The next full Task Force meeting is November 29, 2017 at Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District.

The next Technical Track Work Group meeting is December 6, 2017 at WA Department of Ecology.

**Appendix A: Project Tracking for  
SRRTTF**

Oct-17

Note - projects are listed in order of TTWG of SRRTTF ranking. Red Status and shaded denotes that project was not chosen for SRRTTF funding in the next biennium  
M=Monitoring; C= Control Action; S=Support for Task Force

Project Name and ID	Project Category	Description	Status	TTWG Ranking	Comp Plan Element	Budget	Funding Source	Funding Timeline	Project Lead	Schedule
M.1 Partial Synoptic Sampling - High Frequency	Monitoring	<p><b>Partial Synoptic Sampling - High Frequency</b></p> <p><b>Scope:</b> Conduct multi-purpose synoptic sample at select locations to address Barker Road to Plantes Ferry Park groundwater contribution and track concentration changes. (Note: Ecology will also perform a central tendency data evaluation in 2019) (This element requires the SRRTTF to generate new additional data for compilation)</p> <p><b>Locations</b> - Barker Road, Plantes Ferry Park, and Nine Mile gaging station locations</p> <p><b>Sampling Plan</b> - Collect samples at each location <b>four</b> times during a calendar year so that each river flow regime is covered</p> <p><b>Frequency</b> - Every other year</p>	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - September 2017	Monitoring 1	Comp Plan Element 6.1 Implementation Effectiveness Assessment	\$50,000 / event (could be conducted twice over four-year period, for total of \$100,000)	SRRTTF YEAR 1 and 2	July 2017 - June 2019	?	Nov 2017 - Dec 2018 (4 alternative flow scenarios) <b>Coordinate low flow sampling with C.3 and C.7</b> 4 events during differing flow regimes
C.1 Analyze existing data to identify potential relationships between homologs/congeners in the water column and homologs/congeners in fish tissue at Plantes Ferry Park	Control Action	<p><b>Merged into C.6 - See C.6</b></p> <p><b>Analyze existing data to identify potential relationships between homologs/congeners in the water column and homologs/congeners in fish tissue at Plantes Ferry Park</b></p> <p><b>Scope:</b> Per LimnoTech scope, perform a screening level analysis with existing data to assess if fish tissue PCB concentrations are at a level generally consistent with observed water column concentrations.</p> <p><b>Note this project has now been merged with project C.6 below</b></p>	Addressed under C.6	Control Action 1	Comp Plan Element 6.3.1 Key Data Gaps	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
C.2 Study groundwater upgradient of Kaiser at Industrial Park	Control Action	<p><b>Study groundwater upgradient of Kaiser at Industrial Park</b></p> <p><b>Scope:</b> Utilize existing Kaiser groundwater data to develop a plan to determine the location of suspected sources within Industrial Park in collaborate with the Toxics Control Program which may involve the drilling and sampling of monitoring wells.</p>	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - September 2017	Control Action 2	Comp Plan Element 5.14 Category C Identification of Sites of Concern for Contaminated Groundwater	~140K	SRRTTF- YEAR 1	July 2017 - June 2019	?	May be phased

C.3 Perform a PCB mass balance assessment in the Spokane river in the Plantes Ferry Park/Upriver Dam/Greene Street reaches	Control Action	<b>Perform a PCB mass balance assessment in the Spokane River in the Plantes Ferry Park/Upriver Dam/Greene Street reaches.</b> <b>Scope:</b> Collect dry weather flow data and surface water samples from these three locations to better determine the impacts of the gaining and losing reaches in the area. The addition of Upriver Dam location data will provide the opportunity to assess the impact of groundwater in the Upriver Dam to Greene Street gaining reach, where a contaminated groundwater site is located. (This work could also provide monitoring data based upon the option selected)	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - September 2017	Control Action 3	Comp Plan Element 5.14 Category C Identification of Sites of Concern for Contaminated Groundwater	~50K	SRRTTF - YEAR 2	July 2018 - June 2019	?	August or September 2018 - LOW FLOW
C.4 Conduct a PMF analysis utilizing available PCB data	Control Action	<b>Conduct a PMF analysis utilizing available PCB data.</b> <b>Scope:</b> Dr. Rodenburg at Rutgers to perform a watershed scale PMF analysis using available analytical data including river data, discharger monitoring data, and groundwater data. Purpose would be to try and identify any PMF factors that would help in the identification of specific source types such as Aroclors (legacy) or inadvertently produced PCBs.	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - October 2017	Control Action 4	Comp Plan Element 5.14 Category C Identification of Sites of Concern for Contaminated Groundwater	50K - 75K	SRRTTF - YEAR 1 or YEAR 2	July 2017 - June 2019		Schedule dependent on formatting data. Identify who will process data if database work is not completed
C.5 and C.11 Develop outreach materials and/or update Spokane River toxics guide.	Control Action	<b>Develop outreach materials and/or update Spokane River toxics guide.</b> <b>Scope:</b> Develop various education and outreach materials to increase business and public awareness on how to 1) identify and dispose of PCB-containing items, and/or 2) adjust purchasing practices to select products with lower PCB content. Options include an information package and checklist for use by agencies that make site visits to businesses on PCB issues and management; public education and outreach materials on PCB waste disposal and selecting products with lower PCB content; updating the Spokane River toxics guide; adapting the San Francisco Estuary Project (SFEP) document to make it suitable for use as a guidance document for Spokane-area building contractors on how to reduce PCB load during demolition and remodeling (Third party preparation of materials); AND 3) Meet with Spokane Public Schools to educate them on PCB issues with respect to their presence in building materials. Coordinate with PCB cap outreach.	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - September 2017	Control Action 5	Comp Plan Elements 5.8.2 Conduct public education on products containing PCBs; 5.9.2 Waste Disposal Assistance; 5.13 Building Demolition and Renovation Control; and 5.15.2 Actions That Require Development of New Work Plans	25K	SRRTTF- YEAR 1	July 2017 - June 2019	?	

C.6/C.1 Study to Understand Relationship Between Fish Tissue / Water Column / Sediment.	Control Action	<b>Study to Understand Relationship Between Fish Tissue / Water Column / Sediment.</b>  <b>Scope:</b> SRRTTF's Spokane River data shows fairly consistent geometric mean PCB concentrations at Plantes Ferry Park and Greene Street, however, fish tissue data is markedly different at those locations. In an attempt to understand the cause of this difference, data collection in the Mission Park area would be undertaken. Water column sampling at Greene Street annually during four river flow regimes each year for three years. Sediment survey in the Mission Park area once during the same three-year period. With input from WDFW, sample fish tissue (three species), in the three years of age range, once at the end of three-year period.  Also Included C.1 - Analyze existing data to identify potential relationship between homologs/congeners in the water column and homologs/congeners in fish tissue at Plantes Ferry Park.	Consider Funding under EAP if scope of work can be developed by January 2018	Control Action 6	Comp Plan Element 6.3 Studies to Address Data Gaps	~300K	Potential EAP Project	?		
C.7 Perform a PCB mass balance assessment in the River in the Spokane gage to Nine Mile gage segment.	Control Action	<b>Perform a PCB mass balance assessment in the River from the Green Street gage (note 1) to the Spokane gage to Nine Mile gage segments.</b>  <b>Scope:</b> Collect dry weather flow data and surface water samples from these three locations to better determine the impact of the gaining reaches in the area. Groundwater flow into these reaches of the river has not yet been evaluated for PCB contribution. (This work could also provide monitoring data based upon the option selected.)	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - October 2017-note 1 - TF discussed and added Green street to Spokane reach to provide complete mass balance	Control Action 7	Comp Plan Element 5.14 Category C Identification of Sites of Concern for Contaminated Groundwater	~50K	SRRTTF - YEAR 2	Note - For consistency, it would be best if this project occurred at the same time as C.3	?	August or September 2018 - LOW FLOW
C.8 Educate local governments about PCB related Low Impact Development (LID)	Control Action	<b>Educate local governments about PCB related Low Impact Development (LID).</b>  <b>Scope:</b> Prepare educational materials for and make presentations to local governments concerning the benefits of LID related to PCB with an emphasis on the City of Spokane's experience. (Third party preparation of materials)	Not approved for funding by SRRTTF - September or October 2017 Already being done Save for future consideration	Control Action 8	Comp Plan Element 5.4 Low Impact Development	~5K	n/a	n/a	n/a	
C.9 Green Chemistry Advancement	Control Action	<b>Green Chemistry Advancement.</b>  <b>Scope:</b> In coordination with Ecology's HWTRP, prepare a presentation/proposal to Greener Solutions Program at UC Berkeley, develop a syllabus, and pursue funding for the Program's efforts. Engage with WSU (CEREO?) with	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - September 2017	Control Action 9	Comp Plan Element 5.7.2 Support Green Chemistry Alternatives	10K	SRRTTF Year 1	July 2017 - June 2019	?	

		an eye toward WSU starting Greener Solutions Program. (Third party prep of materials)							
C.10 Conduct Product Testing	Control Action	<b>Conduct product testing.</b> <b>Scope:</b> Identify consumer products (dyes, etc.) to be tested for PCB utilizing input from previous Ecology testing data and others, such as the Spokane Solid Waste Directory.	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - September 2017	Control Action 10	Comp Plan Element 5.8 PCB Product Testing	~35K	SRRTTF Year 1 Also recommended for EAP funding EAP - Product testing, including road paint, in collaboration with EPA.	June 2017 - June 2018	?
S.1		Ruckelshaus Facilitation - Annual Support - July 2017 - June 2019	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - October 2017	Support		~80K	SRRTTF Year 1	July 2017- June 2018	Apply July 2017 to present to new contract
S.2		LimnoTech Technical Support - January 2018 - December 31, 2018	Approved for funding by SRRTTF - October 2017	Support		~65	SRRTTF YEAR 1 and 2	July 2017- June 2019	Apply July 2017 to present to new contract

DRAFT