## May 31 Breakout Session – Data Synthesis Workshop

1. Data Gaps and Questions: Based on what you learned at the workshop, which data gaps/questions do you feel are most important to address and why (and which can we put aside)? Generally describe the action(s) needed to address the data gap/question.

For each Gap or Question identified in #1 above, please answer/consider the following:

- If we fill the data gap, and answer a key question, can we actually do something about it that will lead to a reduction in PCBs in an environmental media? [EG., how would the proposed study support the TF goal of finding and reducing PCB's (making measurable progress)]
- Given what it would take to more accurately quantify an identified contributing source of some estimated size, is it worth the effort to fine tune the size of the impact?
- o How should unknown groundwater sources be addressed?
  - Synoptic surveys of in-river periphyton and/or water
  - Direct measurements of groundwater/seeps
- When should it be addressed? Categorize by:
  - This year (and describe why)
  - Later (and describe why)
- O Who would you expect to lead the effort? Support?
- 2. Actions: Given what we've learned about relative sources of PCB's impacting the Spokane River, are there new actions or adjustments to current actions that we can implement that could further reduce the PCB load entering the Spokane River system?
  - Are there Task Force actions already addressing this?
  - Would you recommend existing actions be enhanced/modified?
  - Are there other actions not currently happening that you would recommend?
- 3. Are there other studies or actions that have not been mentioned above that could allow us to better measure reductions in PCBs in the Spokane River Watershed over time (eg., assess trends in PCB concentrations and TF progress)?