

City of Spokane City Clerk File No. _____
Spokane County File No. _____

**MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT REGARDING
SPOKANE RIVER REGIONAL TOXICS TASK FORCE**

THIS MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT is entered into and effective this first day of March, 2012, by and between the below signed parties (signature pages attached to back of document and signing parties are listed in the table at end of documents.).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the parties have reached an agreement in principal relative to the organization and governance of the Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force, as set forth in the document entitled “Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force Operational and Organizational Concepts,” (“Operational and Organizational Concepts”) which is attached hereto as “Attachment A” and hereby incorporated by reference; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement to more formally memorialize- and- bind -the -parties -to the -provisions -of -the -Operational -and Organizational Concepts; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing recitals, incorporated herein, and the mutual promises and benefits exchanged by the parties herein, the parties do hereby agree as follows:

1. Operational and Organizational Concepts. The parties agree that the governance, roles and responsibilities, funding and other key aspects of the Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force described in the Operational and Organizational Concepts are acceptable and will begin guiding implementation of the parties’ participation in a regional effort to make measurable progress toward meeting applicable water quality criteria for PCBs.
2. Amendments. This Memorandum of Agreement may be changed, amended or modified at anytime through a written Amendment to this Agreement mutually agreed upon and signed by all parties.
3. Additional Parties. Additional parties may join the Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force by duly authorized amendment to this Memorandum of Agreement in accordance with Section 2 herein, entitled “Amendments.”

4. Term. This Memorandum of Agreement is effective when signed by all the parties and will continue in effect during the Ecology 2011 through 2016 NPDES wastewater permit cycle, and ~~may will~~ continue in effect thereafter if future Washington NPDES and Idaho wastewater discharge permits require participation in the Task Force. In the event any party to this Memorandum of Agreement withdraws from the Task Force, written notification shall be submitted to the remaining parties. This Memorandum of Agreement shall remain in effect for all remaining participating parties.
5. Counterparts. This Memorandum of Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
6. Consideration. The consideration for this Memorandum of Agreement shall consist of the performance of the mutual promises and terms set forth herein.
7. Non-Waiver. No waiver by any party of any of the terms of this Memorandum of Agreement shall be construed as a waiver of the same or other rights of that party in the future.
8. Entire Memorandum of Agreement. This Memorandum of Agreement contains the entire understanding of the parties. No representations, promises, or agreements not expressed herein have been made to induce the parties to sign this Memorandum of Agreement.
9. Compliance with Laws. The parties shall observe all federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, to the extent that they may be applicable to the terms of this Memorandum of Agreement.

Commented [BF1]: Per our discussion of generalizing permit language.

Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force
MOA January 23, 2012
File Name: SRRITF MOA Final 1-23-2012.docx

ATTACHMENT A
Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force
Operational and Organizational Concepts

Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force

Attachment A: Operational and Organizational Concepts

Table of Contents

Introduction	7
Task Force Vision Statement for 2012 Through 2016.....	8
Specific Task Force Goals Relating to NPDES Permit Compliance	8
Task Force Operating Guidelines	9
Membership.....	10
NPDES Permittee Membership:	10
Agency and Sovereign Government Membership:.....	10
Additional Government Agency Membership:	11
Stakeholder Membership:	11
Membership Governance	11
Membership Primary and Alternate Delegates:	11
Removal from Membership:	11
Non-Voting Participants:.....	11
Roles and Responsibilities.....	11
Organizational Structure	14
Decision Making.....	15
Consensus / “Unanimity Minus One” Decision Making Process:	15
Dispute Resolution	16
Task Force Funding	16
Meetings and Notices	17
Communications	18
Committees.....	19
Appropriate Staffing.....	19
Facilitator/Coordinator	20
Technical Consultants	20
Task Force Work Plan.....	20
Table 1 Amendment and Signatory Tacking	21
Signature Pages.....	22

Introduction

The 2011 Washington ~~National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)~~ wastewater discharge permits issued by the ~~Washington State~~ Department of Ecology for facilities discharging into the Spokane River include the requirement for creation of a Regional Toxics Task Force (Task Force). These permits ~~further~~ state that the Task Force membership should include the NPDES ~~permittees~~ in the Spokane River Basin, conservation and environmental interests, the Spokane Tribe of Indians, Spokane Regional Health District, Ecology, and other appropriate interests. ~~The Idaho Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (In 2014, EPA issued NPDES) wastewater discharge permits to facilities in Idaho discharging to the Spokane River that issued by EPA in 2014 also included the requirement to participate in the Task Force for those with facilities discharging into the Spokane River. Collectively those in Washington and Idaho with facilities discharging in the Spokane River are referred to as "discharge permittees" throughout this document. It is anticipated that similar permit requirements will be in the permits issued to the NPDES permittees with facilities discharging to the Spokane River in Idaho by the Environmental Protection Agency.~~
~~The purpose of this MOA can be amended is to accommodate addition of the Idaho NPDES permittees discharging to the Spokane River and update the Operational and Organizational Concepts document to reflect the current activities of the Task Force at that time. The following~~ ~~is~~ document provides an organizational structure, identification of the roles and responsibilities of the membership, and governance structure for formation ~~and implementation activities~~ of the Task Force.

The goal of the Task Force ~~will be~~ to develop ~~and coordinate implementation of a~~ comprehensive plan ~~to bring~~ the Spokane River into compliance with applicable water quality standards for PCBs.

For purposes of this Agreement, all references to "toxics" shall mean PCBs and Dioxins that were included on the Washington 2008, Category 5, 303(d) list.

To accomplish that goal it is anticipated that the Task Force functions will include:

Identify data gaps and collect necessary data on PCBs and other toxics on the Washington 2008, Category 5, § 303(d) listing for the Spokane River.

Further analyze the existing and future data to better characterize the amounts, sources, and locations of PCBs and other toxics as defined above entering the Spokane River.

Prepare recommendations ~~and supporting actions~~ for controlling and reducing the sources of listed toxics in the Spokane River.

Review proposed Toxic Management Plans, Source Management Plans, and BMPs.

Monitor and assess the effectiveness of toxic reduction measures.

Identify a mutually agreeable entity to serve as the clearinghouse for data, reports, minutes, and other information gathered or developed by the Task Force and its members. This information shall be made publicly available by means of a website and

Commented [BF2]: IPDES with footnote

Commented [RK(3R2)]: I know it may be a bit convoluted, but the current permits issued by EPA are NPDES permits. When IDEQ issues new permits, they will be IPDES permits. Sorry didn't identify this nuance during the last call. I revised language to reflect this. I've added another statement on the next page to include additional clarity, but not sure if it needs to be kept or not.

Commented [BF4]: Status?

Commented [RK(5R4)]: CDA, Post Falls and HARSB permits all include requirement for permittee to participate under the T&C of MOA and Operational Concepts, but do not include above statement about membership as the WA permits do

Commented [BF6]: Permits issued in 2014

Commented [BF7]: Make measurable progress towards? See note below

Commented [RK(8R7)]: I think we leave as originally stated. The measurable progress piece is Ecology's tool to identify if a TMDL is necessary.

Commented [BF9R7]: Agreed to leave as is

other appropriate means.

To accomplish these functions the Task Force will provide for an independent community technical advisor(s) who shall assist in review of data, studies, and control measures, as well as assist in providing technical education information to the public.

The Washington permits also state that if Ecology determines the Task Force is failing to make measurable progress toward meeting applicable water quality criteria for PCBs, Ecology would be obligated to proceed with development of a TMDL in the Spokane River for PCBs or determine an alternative to ensure water quality standards are met.

Commented [BF10]: Should this language be reflected above under TF purpose?

Commented [BF11R10]: Group agreed to leave as is

The Washington permits require 1) the permittees to participate in a cooperative effort to create a Regional Toxics Task Force and participate in the functions of the Task Force, and 2) that by November 30, 2011, the Task Force shall provide Ecology with the details of the organizational structure, specific goals, funding and the governing documents of the Task Force.

The Idaho permits require the permittees to participate in the Task Force under the terms and conditions of the MOA and the Operational Concepts incorporated therein. In June 2018, IDEQ received EPA authorization to administer the Idaho Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (IPDES) in place of the NPDES. Future wastewater discharge permits for facilities in Idaho discharging into the Spokane River will be issued by IDEQ.

The following sections ~~present~~ provide an update to the Task Force concepts and organizational structure ~~required by the permits~~:

~~Section 2-~~ Task Force Vision Statement ~~for 2012 through 2016.~~

~~Section 3-~~ Task Force Goals Relating to ~~NPDES~~ Permit Compliance.

~~Section 4-~~ Task Force Operating Guidelines.

~~The Idaho permits require the permittees to participate in the Task Force under the terms and conditions of the MOA and the Operational Concepts incorporated therein. In June 2018, IDEQ received EPA authorization to administer the Idaho Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (IPDES) in place of the NPDES. Future wastewater discharge permits for facilities in Idaho discharging into the Spokane River will be issued by IDEQ.~~

Task Force Vision Statement ~~for 2012 Through 2016~~

The following statement is the Task Force Vision Statement ~~for the first five years, from 2012 through 2016~~:

The Regional Toxics Task Force will work collaboratively to characterize the sources of toxics in the Spokane River and identify and implement appropriate actions needed to make measurable progress towards meeting applicable water quality standards for the State of Washington, State of Idaho, and The Spokane Tribe of Indians and in the interests of public and environmental health.

Accomplishing this vision will involve, among other things, technical studies, monitoring, education, and recommendations for specific actions that will reduce toxics in the Spokane River. The Task Force will:

- Provide a forum for the review and discussion of Spokane River toxics issues.
- Participate in public education and engagement to advance the understanding of Spokane River toxics issues.
- Consider the results of past and future studies and implementation actions including those conducted by individual dischargers within their operations and/or service areas.
- Consider the technical studies needed to understand the sources of toxics and advance region-wide understanding of toxics in the Spokane River.
- Provide specific recommendations for the development of a Spokane River toxics reduction plan.

[Support implementation of the Task Force 2016 Comprehensive Plan for Reducing PCBs in the Spokane River.](#)

Significant efforts, collaboration and funding by many organizations will be required to identify and reduce the sources of toxics to the Spokane River. The Task Force will play a prominent role in this effort.

Specific Task Force Goals Relating to NPDES Permit Compliance

The following specific goals developed for the Task Force during for the 2011 to 2016 permit cycle following the Department of Ecology's acceptance, in consultation with other agency and sovereign government members, of the November 30, 2011 submittal required from the NPDES permittees are have been accomplished and/or remain applicable for current the NPDES discharge permits/permittees:

1. Within 12 months of Ecology's approval of the November 30, 2011 required Washington NPDES permittee submittal:
 - Initial Task Force funding will be confirmed.
 - Identification and contracting with appropriate staffing.
 - Development of a 2012 through 2016 Task Force work plan that addresses:
 - Approach for and analysis of existing data on PCB and other toxics on the Washington 2008, Category 5, § 303(d) list to (1) understand what is known, (2) identify data gaps, and (3) determine where additional characterization of amounts, sources and locations is needed.
 - Development and implementation of a Monitoring Plan for the Spokane River that, (1) establishes the baseline conditions for PCBs and the other identified toxics, (2)

- monitors and assesses the effectiveness of toxic reduction measures, and (3) can be adapted to take into account newly generated data and sampling techniques.
- Identification or establishment of a publicly accessible clearinghouse for storing data, reports, Task Force meeting minutes or summaries, and other information gathered or developed by the Task Force and its members.
- Review of proposed Toxic Management Plans, Source Management Plans, and BMPs.
- Approach for preparing recommendations to control and reduce point and nonpoint sources of PCBs and other toxics, on the Washington 2008, Category 5, 303 (d) list, to the Spokane River.
- Public education needs and approach, including pollution prevention and public and environmental health determinations

As appropriate, begin implementation of work plan elements.

2. Prior to submittal to Ecology, the Task Force will develop and review all documents related to a comprehensive plan identifying actions required to bring the Spokane River into water quality compliance for PCBs.

Task Force Operating Guidelines

These operating guidelines are intended to clarify the Task Force governance process. It is assumed that the Task Force will convene and stay operational ~~during the 2011 through 2016 NPDES wastewater permit cycle, and may continue to operate~~ as long as the Spokane River ~~NPDES discharge~~ wastewater permits have requirements for participation in the Task Force.

The following describe:

- Membership.
- Roles and Responsibilities.
- Organizational Structure.
- Decision Making.
- Funding.
- Meeting and Notices.
- Communications.
- Committees.
- Staffing.
- Work Plan.

Membership

The Task Force membership represents the Spokane River community. Membership in the Task Force is intended to encompass a wide field of expertise, community interest, and support a transparent process. Initial membership in the Task Force will include the following groups:

~~NPDES-Discharge~~ Permittee Membership:

~~NPDES-permittee-Discharge permittee mem~~bers of the Task Force shall consist of any private or public entity which is issued a NPDES or IPDES permit for a discharge to the Spokane River, and which includes a permit requirement to participate in the Task Force. The ~~NPDES~~-permittee members will have the roles and responsibilities as described below. If an entity does not participate as a member of the Task Force, and in accordance with the ~~NPDES-associated~~ permit condition, the issuing state or federal agency for that entity shall be responsible for enforcement of the permit condition. The Task Force does not have any regulatory authority over ~~NPDES-discharge~~ permittee members including any authority to determine non-compliance with any ~~NPDES~~-permit.

Commented [BF12]: Or IPDES and in Idaho and WA?

Agency and Sovereign Government Membership:

Agencies and sovereign governments that regulate or establish policies relating to PCBs and toxics shall be an Ex-officio Task Force member. Ex-officio, non-voting agency and sovereign government members shall include the WA State Department of Ecology (Ecology), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Spokane Tribe of Indians, Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Indians, and Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ). The agency and sovereign government members will have the roles and responsibilities as described below.

Additional Government Agency Membership:

Additional government agencies may include the Spokane Regional Health District, Washington State Department of Health, Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Idaho Panhandle Health District, ~~Idaho NPDES wastewater permit holders~~, stormwater permit holders, and other appropriate interests. The additional government agency members will have the roles and responsibilities as described below.

Stakeholder Membership:

~~Per the 2012 MOA, A-letters of invitation wereas distributed by Ecology to current sent out to those invited to participate as members ofin the Task Force. Other Additional sStakeholders- with an interest in joining the Task Force members of the Task Force, other than those referenced above, may request in writing to the Task Forcesubmit a letter to the Task Force stating the organization's desire and intent to participated as a member of the Task Force. with roles and responsibilities identified below will receive a letter of invitation to join the Task Force from Ecology within 30 days of approval of this document. Those invited organizations that provide, in writing, an interest in being a member of the Task Force within 30 days of notification will be~~

Commented [RK(13)]: See if this sounds better.

~~considered a stakeholder member of the Task Force. After expiration of the initial invitation time period, a New stakeholder members may be added to the Task Force only by a consensus vote of the existing members of the Task Force. The stakeholder members will have the roles and responsibilities as described below.~~

Membership Governance

Membership Primary and Alternate Delegates:

Each Task Force member organization will appoint a primary and an alternate delegate. Each entity's primary delegate will strive to attend all Task Force meetings. If the primary delegate is unable to attend, the alternate delegate will attend on the primary delegate's behalf and will have all the rights and responsibilities of the primary delegate. It is the responsibility of the primary delegate to brief their alternate on status of the Task Force. Task Force member organizations with more than one division, section, or department identifying Task Force interests, may have more than one representative become a Task Force member. However, for voting purposes, an entity can only have one representative vote.

Removal from Membership:

If a stakeholder member entity misses three consecutive meetings of the Task Force, the stakeholder member will be automatically removed from the Task Force. NPDES-Discharge permittee, Ex- Officio sovereign and regulatory/governmental members will not be removed from the Task Force.

Non-Voting Participants:

Entities and individuals with an interest in Task Force proceedings may attend Task Force meetings and will be called upon to provide input when appropriate.

Roles and Responsibilities

Organization ¹	Membership Type	Roles and Responsibilities
---------------------------	-----------------	----------------------------

<p>Washington NPDES Dischargers: City of Spokane, County of Spokane, Liberty Lake Sewer and Water District, Inland Empire Paper, Kaiser</p> <p><u>Idaho IPDES Dischargers: Cities of Coeur D'Alene and Post Falls, Hayden Area Regional Sewer Board?</u></p>	<p>NPDES Permittee Membership</p>	<p>Comply with appropriate Task Force related permit conditions</p> <p>Provide administrative oversight, coordination and funding for the operations of the Task Force</p> <p>Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of Task Force.</p> <p>Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.</p> <p>Ensure regulatory agency concurrence/approval of any data collection/analysis work plans.</p>
<p>Ecology</p>	<p>Agency and Sovereign Government Membership</p>	<p>Participate as an ex-officio, non-voting Task Force member.</p> <p>Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force.</p> <p>Provide regulatory oversight of Task Force actions relative to compliance with Washington permits issued</p> <p>Provide and coordinate timely technical review and, as appropriate, approval of Task Force technical effort work plans.</p> <p>Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.</p> <p>Identify and assist in obtaining applicable grant funding for Task Force activities.</p> <p>Lead consultation with EPA, the Spokane Tribe, IDEQ, Coeur d'Alene Tribe, and other appropriate agencies with respect to measurable progress and Task Force decisions.</p> <p>Provide written approval of Task Force decisions, as appropriate.</p>

Commented [BF14]: Verify

EPA	Agency and Sovereign Government Membership	<p>Participate as an ex-officio, non-voting Task Force member</p> <p>Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force.</p> <p>Provide regulatory oversight of water quality standards. Provide regulatory oversight of Task Force actions relative to compliance with permits issued.</p> <p>Provide and coordinate timely technical review and, as appropriate, approval of Task Force technical effort work plans.</p> <p>Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.</p> <p>Identify and assist in obtaining applicable grant funding for Task Force activities.</p>
-----	---	--

		<p>Participate in consultation with Ecology, the Spokane Tribe, Coeur d'Alene Tribe, IDEQ, and other appropriate agencies with respect to measurable progress and Task Force decisions.</p> <p>Provide written approval of Task Force decisions, as appropriate.</p>
IDEQ	Agency and Sovereign Government Membership	<p>Participate as an ex-officio, non-voting Task Force member.</p> <p>Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force.</p> <p>Provide regulatory oversight of Task Force actions relative to water quality standards and compliance with permits issued in Idaho.</p> <p>Provide regulatory oversight of water quality standards.</p> <p>Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.</p> <p>Participate in consultation with EPA, Ecology, the Spokane Tribe, and other appropriate agencies with respect to measurable progress and Task Force decisions.</p> <p>Provide written approval of Task Force decisions, as appropriate.</p>
Spokane Tribe	Agency and Sovereign Government Membership	<p>Participate as an ex-officio, non-voting Task Force member.</p> <p>Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force.</p> <p>Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.</p> <p>Participate in consultation with EPA, Ecology, IDEQ, Coeur d'Alene Tribe, and other appropriate agencies with respect to measurable progress and Task Force decisions.</p> <p>Provide written approval of Task Force decisions, as appropriate.</p>

Coeur d'Alene Tribe	Agency and Sovereign Government Membership	<p>Participate as an ex-officio, non-voting Task Force member.</p> <p>Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force.</p> <p>Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.</p> <p>Participate in consultation with EPA, Ecology, Spokane Tribe, IDEQ, and other appropriate agencies with respect to measurable progress and Task Force decisions.</p> <p>Provide written approval of Task Force decisions, as appropriate.</p>
---------------------	---	--

-

-

Spokane Regional Health District	Additional Government Agency Membership	Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force. Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.
Washington State Department of Health	Additional Government Agency Membership	Provide public health and technical oversight relating to fish advisories. Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force. Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.
Stormwater Agencies ²	WA NPDES Permittee Membership	Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force. Participate in funding Task Force activities relating to Stormwater. Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.
Conservation/Community/Environmental Interests	Stakeholder Membership	Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force. Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.
Other Appropriate Interest ³	Stakeholder Membership	Participate in the formation and on-going functioning of the Task Force. Participate in any technical sub-committees that may be formed by Task Force, as appropriate.
<p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is anticipated that SRRTTF will have approximately 15-20 active members. 2. Stormwater agencies include Spokane County Stormwater, City of Spokane Valley, City of Spokane, City of Millwood, Washington State Department of Transportation, Stevens County and other appropriate agencies. Stormwater agencies will have an independent vote unless they are part of an entity also represented on the Task Force. In instances where one entity has more than one representative on the Task Force, they will share one vote for decision making purposes. 3. Potential appropriate interests include but not limited to: Avista Corp, Counties, Agencies and others. 		

Commented [RK15]: As voting members, should SRHD DOH, others have the same list of R&Rs as the Permittees, with the exception of "compliance with permit conditions", of course?

Commented [BF16R15]: Keep as is – roles and responsibilities are not as clearly defined as other voting members. They have same roles and responsibilities as other voting members.

Commented [BF17]: Is this still accurate and necessary?

Organizational Structure

The Task Force will be formed and operate under this Memorandum of Agreement which provides the Task Force structure and governing principles. A more robust organizational

structure may be required to address the administrative, funding and contractual needs of the Task Force.

Decision Making

The Task Force will strive to reach consensus on all Task Force decisions. If the Task Force is unable to reach consensus, a “unanimity minus one” decision rule will be used as described below. A simple majority of the voting Task Force members shall constitute a quorum. A quorum must be present before a decision can be brought to a vote.

Consensus / “Unanimity Minus One” Decision Making Process:

The goal of the decision-making process is to come to a decision that Task Force members can support following a respectful hearing of all concerns. The Task Force will use consensus-based decision making to guide the efforts toward studying, developing and implementing a comprehensive adaptive management plan to meet water quality standards in the Spokane River.

~~During the Task Force set up/implementation phase, while the Task Force is in the facilitator/administrator candidate identification process, a meeting facilitator will be needed. The Task Force members present at each meeting will select/request that an Ex-officio member facilitate the meeting. Once the Facilitator/Administrator is may be retained by the Task Force, they will take over the role of meeting facilitator.~~ The facilitator will endeavor to reach true consensus on Task Force decisions as follows:

Consensus on a decision about a project, recommendation or other action the Task Force plans to take will be reached when the voting membership present can make one of the following statements about the decision:

- I agree with the decision and will publicly support it
- I agree with the decision, but will refrain from publicly supporting it
- I can live with the decision (and won't disparage it in public)

If a member cannot support a decision, that member shall present a solution to the full group for discussion and consideration. However, the Facilitator has the authority to cut off discussion, if no further progress is being made toward resolving the concerns of voting members. When consensus is not reached, the Facilitator will move to a “unanimity minus one” decision rule described as follows:

A ‘unanimity minus one’ decision rule will be used to confirm and finalize consensus-based decisions. Whenever a decision is to be made, it will be an affirmative decision if one or fewer of the attending members oppose the proposed decision and vote accordingly. If two, or more,

of the attending members oppose the proposed decision and vote accordingly, the decision will not be affirmed.

Any decision by the Task Force will be based on a vote of the members in attendance at a meeting where a decision is made. Decisions will not be made on topics that are not included on a meeting agenda, or on topics where associated documents were not sent out with the agenda. Meeting notices, agendas, and associated documents will be sent out no less than five business days prior to a Task Force meeting. Each Task Force voting member organization, authorized delegate, will represent one vote for decision-making purposes. Any attending member or technical expert may be called upon to provide information during the decision-making discussion process.

Once a decision is made, and the meeting has ended, a decision will not be revisited unless the members, by consensus, agree to bring the decision back to the table for further consideration. Once the Task Force membership agrees to reopen a topic, the decision-making process must be followed to change the original decision.

The Task Force does not make decisions about the funding contributions from Task Force members to the Task Force, or how NPDES discharge permittees meet permit requirements.

Dispute Resolution

If Task Force decisions cannot be reached through the consensus / 'unanimity minus one' based decision making process described above, the Task Force may request that the issue be forwarded to dispute resolution. Depending on the issue and related decision needed, the dispute resolution will be addressed by appropriate agency and sovereign government members, and/or any voting Task Force members and any appropriate technical consultants.

In the event a NPDES discharge permit holder disputes a decision by the Task Force that impacts compliance with their permit, that dispute may be presented to the agency responsible for issuing the permit to the permit holder. The agency that issued the permit will consult with the other regulatory agencies/sovereigns to come to resolution and provide direction to the Task Force. The resolution by the agency that issued the permit will not be binding on the NPDES discharge permit holder unless it is issued as a permit modification or administrative order, unless the agency and NPDES discharge permit holder agree that a permit modification or administrative order is not necessary. If the permitting agency reaches the conclusion that a dispute resolution request does not pertain to an applicable permit condition, it reserves the right to return the dispute to the Task Force without opinion.

Task Force Funding

It is anticipated that Task Force funding will be provided by a combination of private and public sources including but not limited to Task Force members, non-members, grants, governmental agency contributions, sovereign contributions, and other identified outside sources. Funding will be required for administrative, technical support, and implementation activities. Regulatory agencies have agreed to provide up to fifty percent of the first year administrative operational costs up to \$50,000. The NPDES discharge permittees and other Task Force members will provide a commitment for the remaining administrative operational budget for the first year by the signing deadline, February 1, 2012.

Ecology will be the contracting entity for the first year; however, Ecology assumes that the administrative and contractual needs will be transferred to the Task Force upon adoption of an organizational structure that supports these activities or after the first operational year, whichever occurs first. Funding beyond the first year administrative costs will be provided by a combination of private and public sources including but not limited to Task Force members, non-members, grants, agency contributions, sovereign contributions, and other outside sources.

Meetings and Notices

The Task Force will meet at least four (4) times (approximately quarterly) per year, but may meet more frequently when appropriate for selection of consultants, for decision making, for review of project recommendations, review of work plans, for review of data and results, or other activities. It is expected that the Task Force will meet more frequently during the first year. The Task Force may adjust the frequency or schedule of meetings however, all members must be notified prior to a change in the meeting schedule or if additional meetings are implemented.

All Task Force members will strive to participate in the Task Force meetings in person. If the primary or alternate member is unavailable to attend in person, and if they provide advance notice to the meeting facilitator, participation through electronic means will be allowable if available.

The Task Force will be as open and transparent as possible. A person will be selected to take notes at the meeting and meeting notes will be sent out to those present for edit/comment. Once meeting minutes are finalized, they will be made available. The Task Force will provide a document review process and will identify a mutually agreeable entity to serve as a clearing house for data, reports, minutes, and other information gathered or developed by the Task Force. This information shall be made publicly available by means of a website and other appropriate means.

The Task Force will strive to meet the following:

All meetings open to the public.

Task Force can't require members of public to "register" name, affiliation, or other information in order to attend meeting.

Task Force can remove disruptive members of the public who interfere with orderly conduct of a meeting.

No voting by secret ballot.

The public is not entitled to speak at meetings (although usually opportunity is provided, with specific/consistent procedural guidelines).

Task Force is held to the following specific procedure for meeting notices:

- o Contents of notice:
 - ① The time and place.
 - ① The business to be transacted.
- o Timing of notice - written notice must be delivered personally, by mail, by fax, or by e-mail at least five business days before the time of the meeting to all members of the Task Force. A special meeting may be held with 24 hours notice, but no decisions will be made at special meetings.
- o Notice of change in date, location, time of meetings.

The Task Force may take final action only concerning matters identified in the notice of the meeting.

As available, the minutes from meeting will be posted to the website.

No member will act as a representative of the Task Force unless assigned as such through a vote of the membership.

Communications

We have developed the following operating protocol regarding how we work together.

To promote trust and respect, in our work together we agree to:

- o Respect each other in and outside of meetings.
- o Operate in good faith.
- o No backroom deals.
- o Respect the personal integrity and values of participants and organizations.
- o All participants in the negotiation bring with them the legitimate purposes and goals of their organizations. All parties recognize the legitimacy of the goals of others and assume that their goals will also be respected. These negotiations will try to maximize all the goals of all the parties, as far as possible.
- o Honor agreements; commitments will not be made lightly and will be kept.

- Regard disagreements as “problems to be solved,” rather than as “battles to be won.”

To enhance open and honest dialogue, we will:

- Participate in discussions and will encourage each other to “explore without committing.” This frees up the group to explore potential solutions without viewing those explorations as formal proposals.
- State interests, problems, and opportunities, not positions – positive candor is an effective tool.
- Air problems, disagreements, and critical information during meetings to avoid surprises.
- Commit to search for opportunities and alternatives. Group creativity can often determine the best solution.
- Substantiate rumors at the meeting before accepting them as fact.

To communicate clearly in specific discussions, we agree to:

- Disclose interest.
- Listen fully to understand.
- Look for ways to address not only your own interests, but those of others as well.
- Participate, share the floor, be concise.
- Look ahead – acknowledge the past but don’t rehash it.
- Be explicit and factual – ask for clarification if confused.

To ensure inclusivity and transparency, we acknowledge and expect that:

- Participants represent a broad range of interests, each having concerns about the outcome of the issues.
- Participants commit to keeping their colleagues/constituents informed about progress.
- Participants will not publicly represent the views of others.

Committees (Work Groups)

The Task Force has the option to form ~~Committees~~ Work Groups, provided it is determined by the Task Force that ~~committees~~ the work groups will improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the Task Force. Task Force members and appointed members may participate in ~~committees~~ work groups. W-ork groups are open to anyone who wants to participate. The Task Force will designate a chair-lead for each work group ~~committee~~ formed from the membership of the ~~committee~~ work group. The ~~committee chair~~ work group lead will provide regular updates to the Task Force on the

Commented [RK(18): Should we explicitly state that work groups are open to anyone who wants to participate?

Commented [BF19R18]: Ad hoc convened and disbanded as necessary

efforts and recommendations of the committee work group.

Appropriate Staffing

The Task Force will select staff and a technical consultant. The Task Force will select staff through an open and competitive process.

Facilitator/Coordinator

The role of the facilitator will be as follows:

- Keep website up to date.
- Post meeting notices.
- Manage the meeting agenda.
- Facilitate decision-making process.
- Keep meeting minutes.
- Post information from meetings on website.
- Facilitate communications between Task Force and the public.

Technical Consultants

The Task Force will hire one or more independent technical consultants. The role of the technical consultant will be as follows:

- Provide unbiased scientific and technical assistance.
- Review work plan.
- Provide technical guidance.
- Facilitate technical communications between Task Force members and the public.

Task Force Work Plan

During the first year, the Task Force will develop a five-year work plan (2012 to 2016) for review by lead regulatory agency in consultation with the other appropriate agencies and tribal governments. The first work plan will contain first year specific tasks and projected five-year conceptual work plan needed to meet the permit requirement of a comprehensive plan for PCBs. Each subsequent year for as long as the Task Force continues, a work plan with specific activities for the upcoming year will be submitted/prepared. The work plan will clearly demonstrate a relationship to development and implementation of a comprehensive plan.

The Task Force will address agency comments and revise the annual plan as needed. The revised work plan will be submitted to the agencies for final approval. The agencies will approve the work plan and confirm that the work plan will meet regulatory requirements with respect to permit compliance and activities required to develop and implement a comprehensive plan.

Commented [BF20]: TF discussion – what, if any noticing requirements do you want to have for work groups? The MOA does not have specific guidance on this. See Meetings and Notices for TF meetings above

Commented [BF21]: When does Ecology plan to approve the 2019 work plan? Or did this occur as part of Ecology participation at TF meetings?

Commented [RK(22R21)]: I will have to check on this

Commented [BF23R21]: Describe process for submittal and approval?

Spokane River Regional Toxics Task Force
MOA January 23, 2012
File Name: SRRITF MOA Final 1-23-2012.docx

Signature Pages

